

A major merger origin for the high fraction of galaxies at $2 < z < 6$ with 2 bright clumps in the VIMOS Ultra-Deep Survey [★]

B. Ribeiro¹, O. Le Fèvre¹, P. Cassata², B. Garilli³, B. C. Lemaux^{1,4}, D. Maccagni³, D. Schaerer^{5,6}, L. A. M. Tasca¹, G. Zamorani⁷, E. Zucca⁷, R. Amorín^{8,9}, S. Bardelli⁷, N.P. Hathi¹, A. Koekemoer¹⁰, and J. Pforr^{1,11}

¹ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LAM, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, Marseille, France

² Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valparaíso, Gran Bretaña 1111, Playa Ancha, Valparaíso, Chile

³ INAF-IASF Milano, via Bassini 15, I-20133, Milano, Italy

⁴ Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, One Shields Ave., Davis, CA 95616, USA

⁵ Geneva Observatory, University of Geneva, ch. des Maillettes 51, CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁶ Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie - IRAP, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, UPS-OMP, 14, avenue E. Belin, F31400 Toulouse, France

⁷ INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani, 1 - 40127, Bologna

⁸ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK

⁹ Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

¹⁰ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

¹¹ Scientific Support Office, Directorate of Science and Robotic Exploration, ESA-ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands

e-mail: bruno.ribeiro@lam.fr

ABSTRACT

The properties of stellar clumps in star forming galaxies and their evolution over the redshift range $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$ are presented and discussed in the context of the build-up of massive galaxies at early cosmic times. We use HST/ACS images of galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts from the VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey (VUDS) to identify clumps within a 20 kpc radius. We find that the population of galaxies with more than one clump is dominated by galaxies with two clumps, representing $\sim 21 - 25\%$ of the population, while the fraction of galaxies with 3, or 4 and more, clumps is 8-11 and 7-9%, respectively. The fraction of clumpy galaxies is in the range $\sim 35 - 55\%$ over $2 < z < 6$, increasing at higher redshifts, indicating that the fraction of irregular galaxies remains high up to the highest redshifts. The large and bright clumps ($M_{\star} \sim 10^9$ up to $\sim 10^{10} M_{\odot}$) are found to reside predominantly in galaxies with two clumps. Smaller and lower luminosity clumps ($\log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) < 9$) are found in galaxies with three clumps or more. We interpret these results as evidence for two different modes of clump formation working in parallel. The small low luminosity clumps are likely the result of disc fragmentation, with violent disc instabilities (VDI) forming several long-lived clumps *in-situ*, as suggested from simulations. A fraction of these clumps is also likely coming from minor mergers. The clumps in the dominating population of galaxies with two clumps are significantly more massive and have properties akin to those in merging pairs observed at similar redshifts; they appear as more massive than the most massive clumps observed in VDI numerical simulations. We infer from these properties that the bright and large clumps are most likely the result of major mergers bringing-in *ex-situ* matter, and we derive a high major merger fraction of $\sim 20\%$. The diversity of clump properties suggests that the assembly of star-forming galaxies at $z \sim 2 - 6$ proceeds from several different dissipative processes including an important contribution from major and minor mergers.

Key words. galaxies: high redshift – galaxies: structure – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: formation

1. Introduction

The morphology of galaxies is a visible outcome of all processes at work when galaxies are assembling along cosmic time (e.g. Conselice 2014). While at lower redshifts galaxies are predominantly regular and symmetric in the form of elliptical or spiral galaxies, the situation at redshifts higher than the peak in star formation $z \approx 1.5-2$ is very different with galaxies mostly having irregular shapes (e.g. Buitrago et al. 2013; Mortlock et al. 2013; Huertas-Company et al. 2015).

Quantitative morphology allows to follow the evolution of the two main components of galaxies, disks and bulges, and studies have focused on understanding the evolutionary paths of these fundamental components (e.g. Bruce et al. 2012, 2014a,b; Lang et al. 2014; Tasca et al. 2014b; Margalef-Bentabol et al. 2016). Observational studies and numerical simulations seem to support a picture where the original baryonic matter collapsing in a dark matter halo gains angular momentum leading to a rotation supported proto-disc, with violent disk instabilities (VDI) developing and forming large star-forming clumps. These large clumps may then migrate to the disk center to form the proto-bulges of spiral galaxies (e.g. Genzel et al. 2008; Elmegreen et al. 2008, 2009; Dekel & Krumholz 2013; Perez et al. 2013; Bournaud et al. 2014; Bournaud 2016). Alternatively, considerable

[★] Based on data obtained with the European Southern Observatory Very Large Telescope, Paranal, Chile, under Large Program 185.A-0791.

effort has been invested in studying the development of elliptical galaxies along cosmic time. On this scenario, bulges would form from violent dissipative events, going through a stage of compact quiescent objects at $z \sim 2$. Such objects would then grow into massive ellipticals after star formation quenching and a succession of merging events (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2008; Oser et al. 2012; López-Sanjuan et al. 2012; Porter et al. 2014). From a theory and simulations perspective, two major physical processes are therefore thought to play a key role in the evolution of different types of galaxies: gas accretion mostly in cold form and flowing along the cosmic web and/or smoothly distributed (e.g. Dekel et al. 2009; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016), and major or minor merging (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2008; Di Matteo et al. 2008), each leading to different morphological signatures.

An important morphological property related to these processes is the number of large clumps of gas and stars present within a galaxy. Clumps may have either an *in-situ* or an *ex-situ* formation history depending on which physical processes are at play (e.g. Shibuya et al. 2016). Clumps forming from disk instabilities are mostly the result of in-situ dynamical processes, while major and minor merging events are driving clumps of ex-situ material into a galaxy in assembly phase. The properties and fate of these clumps have been extensively studied in the literature both from observations (e.g. Elmegreen et al. 2004; Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2006; Elmegreen et al. 2007, 2013; Genzel et al. 2008; Bournaud et al. 2008; Wuyts et al. 2012; Guo et al. 2012) and in hydrodynamical simulations (e.g. Bournaud et al. 2007; Dekel et al. 2009; Genel et al. 2012; Bournaud et al. 2014; Mandelker et al. 2014; Tamburello et al. 2015; Oklopčić et al. 2016). Both report clumps with typical stellar masses of $10^7 - 10^9 M_\odot$ and with short to long lifetimes of $\lesssim 1$ Gyr. Some studies suggest that short-lived clump are destroyed by internal feedback before migrating to the center of the galaxy (e.g. Genel et al. 2012).

The measurement of clumps at redshifts beyond the peak of cosmic star formation is notoriously difficult. The identification of clumpy galaxies in the dominant population of irregular galaxies at high redshift dates back to the first deep *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST) images (e.g. Williams et al. 1996). Subsequent studies at $z \sim 1 - 3$ revealed that clumpy galaxies are more numerous than in the local Universe (e.g. Abraham et al. 1996; van den Bergh et al. 1996; Giallisco et al. 1996; Elmegreen et al. 2004; Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2006; Elmegreen et al. 2007, 2008, 2009, 2013; Kubo et al. 2013, 2016; Glazebrook 2013; Tadaki et al. 2014; Murata et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2015; Garland et al. 2015; Bournaud 2016). The fraction of clumpy galaxies was studied from $z=0$ up to the most distant galaxies identified today at $z \sim 10$ (Ravindranath et al. 2006; Guo et al. 2012, 2015; Shibuya et al. 2016). In the sample of galaxies with $0.5 < z < 3$ in the CANDELS survey, Guo et al. (2015) find that galaxies with low mass $\log(M_\star/M_\odot) < 9.8$ have a high fraction of off-center clumps $f_{\text{clumpy}} \sim 60\%$ constant over the observed redshift range, while for intermediate and massive galaxies f_{clumpy} decreases from $\sim 40\%$ at $z \sim 3$ to $\sim 15\%$ at $z \sim 0.5$. Combining deep HST imaging from the 3D-HST, CANDELS, HUDF and HFF surveys Shibuya et al. (2016) claim that $f_{\text{clumpy}}^{\text{UV}}$ follows an evolution similar to that of the star formation rate density (e.g. Madau & Dickinson 2014), increasing from $z \sim 8$ to $z \sim 1 - 3$ and decreasing from $z \sim 1$ to $z \sim 0$. In comparing these observed trends with the predictions of simulations, Guo et al. (2015) conclude that VDI are likely responsible for f_{clumpy} at high mass and that minor mergers are a viable explanation for f_{clumpy} at intermediate mass for $z < 1.5$, while Shibuya et al. (2016) conclude that VDI is the main origin of all clumps. Both

these studies exclude major mergers as a possible contribution to the evolution of the fraction of clumps. This is somewhat surprising as the major merger fraction for star-forming galaxies is observed to increase up to $\sim 20\%$ at $z \sim 1 - 2$ (e.g. Lotz et al. 2011; López-Sanjuan et al. 2011, 2013), staying high at least to $z \sim 3 - 4$ (e.g. Conselice et al. 2008; Tasca et al. 2014a), and one would therefore expect that a fraction of the clumps is related to *ex-situ* major merging.

When deriving volume average quantities like f_{clumpy} it is critical to observe samples representative of the general star-forming population, with well defined physical parameters including luminosity, stellar masses and sizes, and following a well defined selection function. The size of irregular high redshift galaxies is very different when using their effective radius or a total size (Ribeiro et al. 2016), and searching for clumps using one or the other of these sizes to define a search area may lead to significantly different results on f_{clumpy} . We show that total sizes are larger by a factor of $\sim 1.5 - 2$ than what previous findings using r_e claim and that this difference is larger at higher redshifts (comparing $z \sim 2$ to $z \sim 4$, Ribeiro et al. 2016). Moreover, the issue of the clump definition is quite tricky and one must be aware of the impact of specific definitions and sample selection, for subsequent analysis to have a statistically robust meaning in a representative volume of the Universe at a given redshift.

In this paper we use a sample of 1242 galaxies with secure spectroscopic redshifts from the VIMOS Ultra-Deep Survey (VUDS) and HST imaging in the COSMOS and ECDFS fields to measure f_{clumpy} . We use a clump definition expanded from Guo et al. (2015), counting all clumps within the isophote defining the total size $r_{T,100}$ and in a 20 kpc physical radius. The sample and the data used are described in Sect. 2. We present our method for identifying and characterizing clumps in Sect. 3. The evolution of the fraction of clumps is presented in Sect. 4. We analyze the statistical properties of clumps in star-forming galaxies in Sect. 5 where we explore the distribution of clumps in galaxies, their areas and luminosities and their spatial distribution. The results are discussed in Sect. 6 and summarized in Sect. 7.

We use a cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0.7$ and $\Omega_{0,m} = 0.3$. All magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2. Data and Sample Selection

2.1. The VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey sample

VUDS is a large spectroscopic survey that targeted ~ 10000 objects covering an area of 1 deg^2 on three separate fields: COSMOS, ECDFS and VVDS-02h (Le Fèvre et al. 2015). With the objective to observe galaxies in the redshift range $2 < z < 6+$, targets were predominantly selected based on the first or second photometric redshift peaks being at $z_{\text{phot}} + 1\sigma > 2.4$, adding objects satisfying Lyman break galaxies (LBG) criteria in color-color diagrams if not already in the z_{phot} selection, with flux limits $22.5 \leq i_{AB} \leq 25$. We followed this selection process in order to avoid the apriori exclusion of some fraction of the star-forming population. To fill in the remaining available space on the slit-masks, a randomly flux selected sample with $23 < i_{AB} < 25$ was added to the target list. The spectra were obtained using the VIMOS spectrograph on the ESO-VLT (Le Fèvre et al. 2003) covering, with two low resolution grisms ($R=230$), a wavelength range of $3650\text{\AA} < \lambda < 9350\text{\AA}$. The total integration time is $T_{\text{exp}} \sim 14 \text{ h}$ per pointing and grism.

Data processing was performed within the VIPGI environment (Scodeggio et al. 2005), and followed by extensive redshift measurements campaigns using the EZ redshift measurement engine (Garilli et al. 2010). At the end of this process each galaxy has flux and wavelength calibrated 2D and 1D spectra, a spectroscopic redshift measurement, and associated redshift reliability flag. For more information on this process we refer the reader to Le Fèvre et al. (2015).

In the following we analyze exclusively VUDS galaxies with the most reliable redshift measurements, i.e. galaxies with redshift flags 2 and 9 ($\sim 80\%$ probability to be correct), and flags 3 and 4 (95 to 100% probability to be correct), see Le Fèvre et al. (2015) for more details.

2.2. Imaging data in the VUDS-COSMOS and VUDS-ECDFS fields

For this study, we use deep high resolution images from HST surveys. Covering the largest contiguous sky region, the COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2007) survey has $\approx 2\text{deg}^2$ of HST ACS F814W coverage down to a limiting magnitude of 27.2 (5σ point-source detection limit). As it covers the entirety of the VUDS COSMOS area (Le Fèvre et al. 2015) it is the most complete image set to undertake morphological studies with our sample. These images cover the UV rest-frame from $\sim 2700\text{\AA}$ at $z \sim 2$ to $\sim 1500\text{\AA}$ at $z \sim 4.5$.

The more recent CANDELS survey (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) covers five different sky regions, including the COSMOS and ECDFS fields with a large overlap with VUDS fields (see Le Fèvre et al. 2015; Tasca et al. 2016). The area covered is smaller than VUDS but near-infrared coverage (with the F125W and F160W bands) is important because it allows us to probe the rest-frame optical morphology for the majority of our sample in these fields. It reaches depths at 5σ of 28.4, 27.0 and 26.9 at F814W, F125W and F160W, respectively. These observations are deeper in the F814W band than the aforementioned COSMOS observations. The typical spatial resolution of these images ranges from $0.09''$ (0.8, 0.6 kpc, at $z = 2.0, 4.5$) for the F814W band up to $0.18''$ (1.5, 1.2 kpc, at $z = 2.0, 4.5$) in the F160W band.

2.3. Spectral Energy Distribution

The SED fitting procedure closely follows the method described in Ilbert et al. (2013) using the Le Phare code, and the specifics for VUDS are detailed in Tasca et al. (2015). To fit the photometric data we use templates derived from Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models and assuming a Chabrier (2003) IMF. To model the star formation histories we use an exponentially declining parameterization $\text{SFR} \propto \exp(-t/\tau)$ (τ in the range 0.1 Gyr to 30 Gyr), and an additional two models with delayed SFH peaking at 1 and 3 Gyr. The templates are created for a grid of 51 ages (in the range 0.1 Gyr to 14.5 Gyr). We apply a Calzetti et al. (2000) dust extinction law to the templates, using $E_s(B - V)$ in the range 0 to 0.5. Models with three different metallicities are used ($Z=1, 0.4, 0.2 Z_\odot$). The main parameter of interest in this paper is the stellar mass, $M_\star$ for which the median values of the probability distribution function are used. We refer the reader to Ilbert et al. (2013) and Thomas et al. (2016) for typical uncertainties on these quantities (see also Tasca et al. 2015). We expect a statistical uncertainty of ~ 0.1 dex in stellar masses. Systematic uncertainties due to choice of IMF can be as high as a factor of ~ 1.7 , if a Salpeter (1955) IMF is used instead of the Chabrier

(2003) IMF. The sample selection (discussed below), color correction detailed in Sect. 3.1 and the k-correction used in Sect. 3.3 rely on the parameters derived from Le Phare. Using stellar masses as derived from the GOSSIP+ code (see Thomas et al. 2016), using a method different from Le Phare in performing SED fitting on the joint photometry and spectroscopy data, when defining our mass-selected galaxy sample has no impact on the results presented in this paper.

2.4. Sample selection

To follow the evolution of galaxy sizes in a similar population as a function of redshift, broadly representing the same coeval population, we define our sample imposing an evolving lower stellar mass limit set to follow the general stellar mass growth of star-forming galaxies. We define a lower stellar mass limit in our sample anchored at $z = 4.5$ as $\log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) > 9.35$ (below which the VUDS sample is becoming incomplete). We then use the stellar mass function evolution from Ilbert et al. (2013) together with the typical sSFR of VUDS galaxies (Tasca et al. 2015) to follow the typical stellar mass growth of VUDS galaxies, and define the stellar mass selection threshold at different redshifts using

$$\log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) > -0.204 \times (z - 4.5) + 9.35. \quad (1)$$

We refer to Ribeiro et al. (2016) for more details on the choice of this mass selection in the context of the VUDS survey. In the higher redshift interval $z > 4.5$ we select all galaxies with spectroscopic redshift and stellar masses $\log_{10}(M_\star/M_\odot) > 9$ to build a sufficiently large sample to obtain a measurement of the clumpy fraction and clump properties, even if this sample is slightly incomplete.

We identify a total of 1242 galaxies with $2 < z < 4.5$ that satisfy the mass-selection and the redshift quality criteria in the VUDS survey with ACS F814W images, of which 1087 are in the COSMOS field and 155 are in the CANDELS-ECDFS field. We also examine a higher redshift sample of 96 galaxies with $4.5 < z < 6$, even if the available imaging data for this higher redshift sample is not deep enough to search for clumpy galaxies at the same luminosity limit than the lower redshift sample, and we take this into consideration in our analysis.

We restrict ourselves to the VUDS spectroscopic sample to provide a homogeneous probe into the clumpy nature of star-forming galaxies. In addition, our proposed algorithm for clump detection is sensitive to the redshift (see Sect. 3) as are all the parameters that are computed from SED fitting. Thus, by limiting ourselves to the VUDS sample of galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts we minimize the uncertainties on the derived quantities that we present throughout the paper.

3. Defining clumps in galaxies

There are various methorature with the goal of detecting and characterizing galactic clumps at high redshift ranging from visual classification to automated algorithms (e.g. Elmegreen et al. 2007; Puech 2010; Guo et al. 2012; Wuyts et al. 2012; Tadaki et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2015; Shibuya et al. 2016). Usually, the algorithms involve the subtraction of a smoothed version of an image from the original and then search for positive detections in the residual image that can be considered as galactic clumps. We follow a slightly different approach. Our clump search is based on the assumption that clumps are locally the brightest region within a larger isophote which defines the total extent of




Fig. 1. Exemplification of the method for detecting galaxy clumps. On the left we show the number of disconnected regions with more than 10 pixels as a function of flux level cut. When $f = 1$ the isophote limit represents the limiting area of the galaxy. On the right we show the contours corresponding to three different flux level cuts at 20%, 50% and 100% of total flux that are identified in the same color as the vertical lines in the left panel.

the galaxy it resides in. We summarize our method in the steps below.

1. We smooth the image of $6'' \times 6''$ centered on the VUDS galaxy with a Gaussian kernel of $\sigma = 1$ pixel ($0.03''$);
2. We compute the segmentation map of the smoothed image based on a given surface brightness threshold;
3. From the segmentation map we select the area of connected pixels above the adopted surface brightness threshold which contains the brightest pixel within a 0.5 arc-second radius from the target coordinates;
4. Using the selected pixels, we compute the total flux of the galaxy by summing up the flux in all the pixels;
5. We iterate (using 1000 steps) a flux level f_i from the maximum to the minimum flux detected. At each step we compute the number of disconnected regions (defined from all pixels with fluxes greater than f_i) within the segmentation map and store the position of the local maximum associated with each disconnected region (see Fig. 1).
6. We define the number of clumps as the number of disconnected regions detected above the isophote level corresponding to 80% of the total galaxy flux, which are associated with the different local maxima.

We repeat this process for all galaxies found in the image stamp. We note that we only consider clumps with a detection area greater than 10 pixels. This minimum area for clump detection ensures that we are excluding the contribution from random fluctuations of photon counts and also imposes a lower limit of ~ 3 pixels (roughly the FWHM of the PSF) on the separation of any given two clumps that we can detect.

3.1. Isophotal threshold

One of the key elements of this algorithm is the limiting isophote at which we define the total extent of a single galaxy. For our

method, it is defined as

$$k = k_p \left(\frac{1+z}{1+z_p} \right)^{-3} \times 10^{-0.4(I_{\text{obs}} - B_{\text{rest}})} \times \frac{L(z)}{L(z_p)}, \quad (2)$$

which is composed by three different terms.

The dimming correction which accounts for the fact that due to the expansion of the Universe the observed number of photons of a source with constant intrinsic luminosity scales with $(1+z)^{-3}$ (Ribeiro et al. 2016).

The color correction which accounts for the fact that we are not observing galaxies exactly at the same rest-frame wavelengths and corrects for the relative brightness based on the slope of the SED close to the band we are observing. To be consistent in our large redshift range we choose to use rest-frame FUV magnitudes to compute the color correction term since it is the central wavelength at the peak of the redshift distribution of our sample.

The luminosity correction which accounts for the average luminosity evolution in galaxies. $L(z)$ is derived from the L^* values computed in FUV by Reddy & Steidel (2009) at $z < 3$ and by Bouwens et al. (2015) at $z > 4$. We define a luminosity evolution which is steeper below $z < 3$ and flattens at $z > 3$:

$$L(z) = \begin{cases} 10^{-0.4(-0.36z)} & z \leq 3 \\ 2.25 \times 10^{-0.4(-0.07z)} & z > 3 \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

In equation 2 there are two parameters that are correlated. The value k_p represents the detection threshold, in units of σ , at $z = z_p$. In this study we set $z_p = 2$ and we use several values of k_p throughout the paper. In particular we use $k_p = 1.5, 2.0$ for $2 < z < 4.5$ and $k_p = 5.0$ for $4.5 < z < 6$. Since the value of k can vary by a factor of $((1+2)/(1+6))^{-3} \sim 0.08$ from $z = 2$ to $z = 6$ based only on surface brightness dimming we choose different values of k_p to allow for an estimate of the total extent of galaxies at the lower limit while preventing noise contaminated detections at the high redshift end.

All these factors are explained in detail in [Ribeiro et al. \(2016\)](#). For comparison purposes we also run a different clump detection algorithm, similar to that defined by [Guo et al. \(2015\)](#) which we refer to in Sect. 4.2 and is explained in some detail in Appendix A.

3.2. Resulting clumps and pair counts

At the end of this process a list of galaxies is identified within a 20 kpc radius, a value commonly used as two equal masses bound by gravity will likely merge in a dynamical timescale of ~ 1 Gyr ([López-Sanjuan et al. 2013](#); [Tasca et al. 2014a](#)), and a list of clumps is identified in each galaxy. Our algorithm may identify several *galaxies* within the search radius of 20 kpc, as pixels groupings isolated from other pixel groupings, i.e. these groups of pixels are not connected to each other at the isophote considered. It is well possible that if deeper images were available, allowing to lower the search isophote, some of these pixel groupings could be connected and possibly associated to a single galaxy.

To avoid ambiguous interpretation, all our results on galactic clumps take only into account clumps that are associated with the galaxy that was the target of the VUDS spectroscopy. We have spectroscopic information (important to compute k in equation 2) only for these sources as well as physical parameters from SED fitting only for these galaxies. However we keep information about other galaxies detected within 20 kpc to compute a pair fraction at the same redshifts, as discussed in Sect. 6. Several examples of clumps detected in VUDS galaxies are shown in Figs. 2, 3 and 4.

To transform our pair fraction into a merger fraction we correct the observed pair count for line of sight contamination using a simple statistical argument. Starting with the expected number of galaxies within a square degree, as derived by [Capak et al. \(2007\)](#), we compute the probability of a galaxy of magnitude m to be found at a distance r of the VUDS target. The total number of galaxies brighter than magnitude m in one square degree is computed integrating the number counts, $N(< m)$ computed by [Capak et al. \(2007\)](#). We then estimate how many galaxies we expect to find within $\pi \times r^2$. This is summarized by

$$p = \frac{\pi r^2}{3600 \times 3600} \times \int_{15}^m N(< m') dm'. \quad (4)$$

In the end, each galaxy of magnitude m counts as $0 < p < 1$, and this quantity gives the contaminating counts when later considering galaxy pairs.

3.3. Luminosity and Sizes

In order to obtain further information on the physical characteristics of clumps we adapted the method defined by [Freeman et al. \(2013\)](#) to compute the intensity parameter I giving the luminosity of each clump.

This algorithm defines groups of pixels associated with a local maximum inside a segmentation map. First, the image is smoothed via a Gaussian kernel with a given σ (we use $\sigma = 1$ pixel). Then, for each pixel in the segmentation map, we compute the corresponding local maximum via maximum gradient paths. This means that for each pixel we compute the intensities of the 8 surrounding pixels and then move to the pixel with maximum intensity. We continue this process until a local maximum is reached and none of the eight neighbor pixels have higher intensities than the one we are considering. So, a pixel

group is defined as the collection of pixels linked to a particular local maximum.

Once we have all the pixel groups defined, we match the local maximum of each group to the local maximum of the detected clumps as described in section 3. Then we compute the luminosity and area associated with each clump by counting all the light and pixels in each group, respectively. It is important to stress that since we are stopping at the border of two contiguous groups of pixels, the derived areas and luminosities are lower limits to the real values. This happens since we are only considering flux from pixels where the contribution from the clump being considered is dominant with respect to neighboring clumps.

With the knowledge of the spectroscopic redshift from VUDS, we can then compute physical areas in kpc^2 . Absolute magnitudes are computed using the flux measured from the F814W images. We correct the magnitudes to FUV rest-frame using the k -correction derived for the galaxy from SED fitting. This means that the clump magnitude is derived as

$$M_{\text{clump},\text{FUV}} = M_{\text{clump},\text{F814W}} + k_{\text{corr},\text{FUV}}. \quad (5)$$

3.4. False clump detections

To estimate the impact of false detections on the inferred distributions of areas and luminosities we perform a simple test. We run our clump detection algorithm using the same threshold as before on the negative image of each galaxy and compute the area and magnitude of each negative clump which is, by its nature, a false peak detection. We can see in Fig. 5 that the inferred distribution of false clumps is found at small areas (peaking at $\sim 1 \text{ kpc}^2$) and faint luminosities (peaking at $M_{\text{F814W}} \sim -17.4 \text{ mag}$) as expected.

Most of the negative detections are found at $M_{\text{clump}} > -18$ which is fainter than the peak for the fainter clumps that is observed in the magnitude distribution (see figure 8). This indicates that the smaller clumps with $M_{\text{clump}} < -18$ are mostly real physical clumps.

3.5. Impact of the spatial resolution and morphological k -correction

We test our assumption that clumps are evenly detected across the rest-frame wavelength range that we probe at different redshifts by computing the same quantities on a version of the F814W images degraded to the same spatial resolution as the H-band CANDELS images, and on a sub-sample of galaxies for which H-band imaging from CANDELS is available. To degrade the I-band imaging data we re-scale the image to match the pixel-scale of the H band and then convolve the image with a Gaussian kernel to match the PSF resolution of that image.

We find that the clumpy fraction in the degraded I band images is found to be ~ 3 times smaller than what we find for the non degraded images. In the H-band imaging we find that the clumpy fractions are fully consistent with the values we get for the degraded I band imaging data, possibly slightly lower. We therefore argue that the differences we find when comparing I to H band data can be explained mostly by the difference in spatial resolution which, as expected, merges clumps that are too close to be resolved. We note however that we find no evidence for the existence of a morphological k -correction that needs to be applied to f_{clumpy} .




Fig. 2. Twelve examples of galaxies for which only one clump was detected. The white circle shows the 20 kpc projected search radius. The contours represent the detection isophote of each galaxy identified within this search radius. Each circle shows the position of a detected clump within the isophote of the same color. VUDS targets are identified with red contours and circles.




Fig. 3. Twelve examples of galaxies with two clumps detected. The top six examples show cases for which at least one bright clump was detected whereas the bottom six examples show pairs of faint clumps. The white circle shows the 20 kpc projected search radius. The contours represent the detection isophote of each galaxy identified within this search radius. Each circle shows the position of a detected clump within the isophote of the same color. VUDS targets are identified with red contours and circles.




Fig. 4. Twelve examples of galaxies with more than two clumps detected. The white circle shows the 20 kpc projected search radius. The contours represent the detection isophote of each galaxy identified within this search radius. Each circle shows the position of a detected clump within the isophote of the same color. VUDS targets are identified with red contours and circles.




Fig. 6. Number of galaxies as a function of the number of clumps detected within the selected isophote. Note that we include in this plot single clump galaxies which correspond to galaxies with no substructure or a single central clump. We plot this quantity for two redshift intervals each with its respective value of $k_p = 1.5$ for $2 < z < 4.5$ in gold (left panel) and $k_p = 5$ for $4.5 < z < 6.0$ in purple (right panel). On each panel we show the number of clumps for the VUDS targets.




Fig. 5. The black points and histograms show the distribution in areas and magnitudes for the negative clumps detected applying the same method on the inverted galaxy image. Most of the negative detections are found at $M_{\text{clump}} > -18$ which is fainter than the peak for the fainter clumps that is observed in the magnitude distribution (see figure 8). This indicates that the smaller clumps with $M_{\text{clump}} < -18$ are mostly real physical clumps.

4. The evolution of the clumpy fraction with redshift

4.1. The distribution of the number of clumps per galaxy

We compare in Fig. 6 the number of galaxies as a function of the number of detected clumps per galaxy in two different redshift

ranges. We consider only clumps that reside inside the isophote belonging to the VUDS target when showing this histogram. We find that the fraction of multi-clump systems decreases as the number of clumps increases. Systems with only one clump (i.e. a single clump in a smooth galaxy at the observed resolution) are the dominant population ($\sim 55\%$ at $2 < z < 4.5$ and $\sim 61\%$ at $4.5 < z < 6.0$). We find that the fraction of clumpy galaxies with only two clumps dominates the distribution of clumpy galaxies with $\sim 25 \pm 2.7\%$ double clumps galaxies at $2 < z < 4.5$ and $\sim 21 \pm 7.7\%$ at $4.5 < z < 6.0$. Galaxies with 3 clumps are about $\sim 11 \pm 1.6\%$ at $2 < z < 4.5$ and $\sim 8 \pm 4.1\%$ at $4.5 < z < 6.0$. Galaxies with four or more clumps amount to only $\sim 7 \pm 1.2\%$ at $2 < z < 4.5$ and $\sim 9 \pm 4.5\%$ at $4.5 < z < 6.0$. Several examples of galaxies with one, two, or more clumps may be found in Figs. 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

4.2. The fraction of clumpy galaxies

We define as a clumpy galaxy any source that has more than one clump detected within its isophote. To compute this fraction as a function of redshift, we consider only clumpy (and non-clumpy galaxies) within the VUDS galaxies for which we have reliable information on the spectroscopic redshift. This ensures that we are not smearing our results between redshift bins by including galaxies with uncertain or incorrect redshifts. We find that the stellar mass distribution of clumpy and non-clumpy galaxies are indistinguishable from one another, so that there is no particular population bias in defining clumpy galaxies as those with more than one clump. We stress that the clumpy fraction with our method depends on the selected isophote (value of k_p) and its dependency on a color correction, as allowed by the depth of available images.

We find that the clumpy fraction is rising with redshift for all values of k_p that we have tested as well as when using NUV as the base rest-frame band. Due to the asymmetric nature of most galaxies at high redshift (e.g. Huertas-Company et al. 2015; Curtis-Lake et al. 2016) and since deriving a center and a size can lead to different results when using different assumptions (e.g.

k_p	$2.0 < z < 2.5$	$2.5 < z < 3.0$	$3.0 < z < 3.5$	$3.5 < z < 4.5$	$4.5 < z < 6.0$
1.5	0.34 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.07	0.46 ± 0.07	0.54 ± 0.09	-
2.0	0.28 ± 0.08	0.32 ± 0.06	0.38 ± 0.07	0.47 ± 0.08	-
5.0	0.08 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.06	0.39 ± 0.12

Table 1. Clumpy fraction as a function of redshift for three different values of k_p . In each entry we show the clumpy fraction for a given redshift bin and threshold normalization value k_p and the respective Poisson derived errors.

Ribeiro et al. 2016) we are not including in our sample of clumpy galaxies those sources with an off-center clump as defined by, e.g., Guo et al. (2015); Shibuya et al. (2016).

The clumpy fraction evolution with redshift is shown in figure 7 and summarized in table 1 and is discussed below.

4.2.1. Clumpy fraction in $2 < z < 4.5$

At $2 < z < 4.5$ we observe that the clumpy fraction remains in the range 35 to 55% at all redshifts. This fraction is observed to be rising from low to high redshifts. We also report the clumpy fraction for two different values of k_p showing that the absolute value does indeed depend on the isophote used. Increasing the value of k_p lowers the probability of detecting faint clumps and it is no surprise that the fraction of clumpy systems decreases as the value of k_p increases. We find, regardless of the value of k_p adopted, a factor $\gtrsim 2$ increase in the clumpy fraction from the lowest to the highest redshifts measured in our sample.

The rising evolution with redshift of the clumpy fraction observed using our method is different from results obtained in other studies (e.g. Guo et al. 2015; Shibuya et al. 2016). A possible explanation for these differences is that the lower fraction of clumpy galaxies that we observe at $z \sim 2 - 3$ is the result of the evolving isophote threshold defined in section 3, including luminosity evolution. This imposes a higher threshold at lower redshifts than studies using a fixed apparent luminosity threshold, resulting in a reduced area in which to search for clumps and therefore a lower number of clumps at the lower redshift end. Our choice of taking into account luminosity evolution in defining the search isophote is motivated by the objective of counting clumps within similar physical areas while stellar populations brighten in galaxies when moving from the highest redshifts in our sample down to the peak in SFRD. When selecting a fixed apparent isophotal level like in Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016), the global brightening of galaxies enables to count clumps in larger galaxy areas making it more difficult to relate clump measurements at different redshifts.

We emulate a method similar to that used by Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016) to test the impact of the method and sample selection on the clumpy fraction. A short description of the method and results can be found in appendix A. We show that we get similar clumpy fractions within the error bars of the measurements when we use their method applied to our sample. The agreement is excellent for $z < 3$, but for $3 < z < 4$ we find a higher clumpy fraction that is within $\sim 2\sigma$ of the values reported by Shibuya et al. (2016).

4.2.2. Clumpy fraction $4.5 < z < 6$

At $z > 4.5$ the depth of the data only allows the use of a higher value of k_p , as shown in figure 7. At these redshifts it is also necessary to use a brighter isophote limit for the clumps detection to avoid excessive contamination from noise. With these constraints we observe a clumpy fraction of 20–40% in the redshift range $4.5 < z < 6$.

It is clear from our results at lower redshifts that if the depth of the data was enabling to use a fainter isophote we would likely observe a higher clumpy fraction also in this redshift bin. Since we have computed the clumpy fraction at all redshifts for all values of k_p shown in figure 7 we attempted to compute a correction to estimate the clumpy fraction in $4.5 < z < 6$ as if it was measured with $k_p = 1.5$. To do that we fitted a linear curve to the quantity $\frac{f_{c,K}}{f_{c,5}}(z)$ which quantifies the underestimation of the clumpy fraction measured at $k_p = 5$ with respect to that measured at $k_p = K$. We then apply this correction factor measured at $z = 5.25$ to correct the clumpy fraction measured for $k_p = 5.0$. In doing so, we estimate that the clumpy fraction at $4.5 < z < 6.0$ is $\sim 64 \pm 19\%$ when corrected for $k_p = 1.5$.

5. Physical properties of clumps

5.1. The relation between clump luminosity and area

As stated in Sect. 3.3, we derive areas and absolute magnitudes for each clump by computing the flux and area within each group of pixels associated with the local maximum that defines the clump. We show in Figs. 8 and 9 the tight correlation that we observe between clump magnitude and clump area. This is expected by construction since the larger the area (i.e. the number of pixels of the clump) the more likely it is for it to be brighter. In this figure we are excluding galaxies with a single clump detection.

We can observe in Fig. 8 that the distribution of clump areas is quite broad, and that the magnitude distribution has an asymmetric shape. We fitted each of these distributions with a two Gaussian model with six free parameters: two amplitudes, two centers and two widths, one for each Gaussian curve. The fit was done using the Levenberg-Marquardt minimization algorithm implemented in *scipy*. For clumps at $2 < z < 4.5$ we observe two peaks in the magnitude distribution at $M_{NUV} = -18.1 \pm 0.1$ and $M_{NUV} = -19.7 \pm 0.1$. In the area distribution the two peaks are found at $\log_{10}(T) = 0.25 \pm 0.05$ and $\log_{10}(T) = 0.78 \pm 0.03$, which correspond to ~ 1.8 and ~ 6.0 kpc², respectively, which may be an indication of a bimodal distribution. We test the statistical significance of this bimodality using the Hartigan's dip test (Hartigan & Hartigan 1985). We find that the unimodal distribution hypothesis is highly favored (at $\sim 80\%$ level) and thus we need larger samples to confirm the perceived bimodality that we observe. We do find a deviation from a normal distribution computed from the Shapiro & Wilk (1965) test that rejects the normal distribution hypothesis at a 99% level. At $4.5 < z < 6.0$ the low number of clumps does not allow to test for a bimodal distribution (see Fig. 9).

We conclude from this analysis that a broad range of clump luminosities and sizes is observed. Clumps are confidently identified with areas as small as 1 kpc² and faint luminosities $M_{clump,FUV} \sim -17$, or with sizes as large as 15 kpc² and bright luminosities $M_{clump,FUV} \sim -21$. This diversity in clump properties may be linked to different processes of clump formation producing bright and large clumps preferentially with with




Fig. 7. Fraction of clumpy galaxies within the VUDS sample as a function of spectroscopic redshift. Different large colored circles (gold, green and purple) represent different values of the normalization k_p . The smaller gray symbols represent values from the literature for clumpy galaxies: circles (Shibuya et al. 2015); squares (Guo et al. 2015); and for asymmetric galaxies: inverted triangles (Ravindranath et al. 2006); triangles (Conselice & Arnold 2009); octagons (Law et al. 2012); pentagons (Curtis-Lake et al. 2016). For $k_p = 5$, the isophote at $z < 4$ is very high and we are only probing the brightest regions of galaxies and thus miss those clumps outside the search isophote, therefore lowering the fraction of clumpy galaxies. The empty purple circle at $z \sim 5$ is the extrapolation of the clumpy fraction as if it was measured at $k_p = 1.5$ (see Sect. 4.2.2 for details).

$M_{\text{clump},FUV} < -18.5$ and area $T_{\text{clump}} > 4 \text{ kpc}^2$, or faint and small clumps with $M_{\text{clump},FUV} > -18.5$ and area $T_{\text{clump}} < 4 \text{ kpc}^2$, producing the continuous range of observed properties. This is discussed in Sect. 6.

5.2. Bright and large clumps are in two-clump galaxies

A key information shown in Fig. 8 is the number of clumps in the galaxy that each clump belongs to. The larger and brightest clumps are most likely to be found in two-clump galaxies and as we move towards fainter and smaller clumps we observe that they are more commonly found in galaxies with a larger number of clumps. This information is summarized in the contour lines of Fig. 8 showing the distribution of the fraction of clumps

in two-clump systems as a function of clump area and absolute magnitude. More than 50% of the brightest and largest clumps are found in two-clump systems and the probability of finding two clumps in a galaxy decreases for fainter clump magnitudes and smaller areas (down to $\sim 10\%$).

The information on how the fraction of clumps in two-clump systems evolves with redshift is found in Tab. 2. We see that this fraction evolves with redshift with a higher probability to find two-clump systems at lower redshifts ($\sim 40 \pm 6.1\%$ at $2 < z < 2.75$) than at higher redshifts ($\sim 12 \pm 2.5\%$ at $4.5 < z < 6.0$). Imposing a magnitude cut ($M_{\text{clump}} < -19.1$) the fraction of clumps in two-clump systems is larger at all redshifts ($\sim 60 \pm 15\%$ at $2 < z < 2.75$ down to $\sim 15 \pm 3.4\%$ at $4.5 < z < 6.0$), confirming that the largest and brightest clumps are more likely to reside in two-clump systems than their fainter smaller counterparts.




Fig. 8. Absolute magnitude in the rest-frame FUV and area distribution for clumps found in galaxies with $N_c \geq 2$ detected at $2 < z < 4.5$ with $k_p = 1.5$. Each clump is color coded by the number of clumps found in its parent galaxy. The color scheme is found at the bottom right of the main panel. The black solid line in each of the auxiliary panels shows a fit of a two Gaussian model to the observed distribution of areas and magnitudes of the clumps. There is a bimodality present in both distributions and we observe that it is more probable to find the brightest and largest clumps in two clump systems. The contours show the smoothed distribution of the fraction of 2 clump-systems at levels of 10, 25 and 50%. It is clear that the majority of two-clump systems (with respect to all clumpy systems) is found in brighter and larger clumps.

5.3. Luminosity ratios of two-clump systems

To classify each two-clump group we compute the luminosity ratio between the two clumps, L_f/L_b , with L_f being the luminosity of the fainter clump and L_b that of the brighter of the two. The resulting fractions as a function of redshift can be found in

Tab 2. The fraction of two-clump galaxies is classified in major ($1/4 < L_f/L_b < 1$) and minor ($L_f/L_b < 1/4$) systems, for galaxies which have at least one clump with $M_{\text{clump}} < -19.1$, although statistical uncertainties get large because of the reduced number of galaxies for which we are able to compute this quantity.

redshift	f_{2c} [%]	$f_{2c,bright}$ [%]	$f_{major,bright}$ [%]	$f_{minor,bright}$ [%]	$f_{gal,pair}$ [%]
$2.0 < z < 2.75$	40 ± 6.1	60 ± 15.4	77 ± 22.8	23 ± 9.9	15 ± 4.5
$2.75 < z < 3.5$	38 ± 3.9	60 ± 9.0	64 ± 14.0	36 ± 9.4	21 ± 5.1
$3.5 < z < 4.5$	19 ± 2.4	37 ± 6.3	43 ± 13.8	57 ± 17.0	39 ± 11.6
$4.5 < z < 6.0$	12 ± 2.5	15 ± 3.4	53 ± 32.6	47 ± 29.7	38 ± 24.0

Table 2. Fraction of 2 clump systems in 4 redshift intervals at $k_p = 1.5$ for $2 < z < 4.5$ and $k_p = 5.0$ for $4.5 < z < 6.0$. The second column represents the total fraction of two-clump systems. The third column represents the fraction of clumps in two-clump-systems with at least one of them being brighter than $M_{clump} < -19.1$. The fourth and fifth columns represent the fraction of bright two-clump systems that are in major ($1/4 < L_f/L_b < 1$) and minor ($L_f/L_b < 1/4$) systems. The luminosities are measured in FUV rest-frame. The last column shows the pair fraction corrected for projection effects (see Sect. 3.2) considering pairs of $i_{AB} < 24.5$ VUDS targets with fainter galaxies.




Fig. 9. Absolute magnitude in rest-frame FUV and area distribution for clumps found in galaxies with $N_c \geq 2$ detected at $4.5 < z < 6.0$ with $k_p = 5$. Each clump is color coded by the number of clumps found in its parent galaxy. The color scheme is found at the bottom right of the main panel. The contours show the distribution of fraction of 2 clump-systems at levels of 10 and 25%.

5.4. Clump stellar masses

We compute the stellar mass of clumps with a simple assumption that the mass to light ratio of clumps is the same as its host galaxy. This hypothesis allows us to estimate the stellar mass of each clump by computing the clump to galaxy luminosity ratio and applying this ratio to compute the stellar mass of a clump from the total stellar mass of the galaxy. Clearly, this estimate is a first order approximation as stellar clumps are likely to be on average more star-forming than the galaxy as a whole if they are strongly star-forming regions, and we might therefore underestimate the stellar masses of clumps.

In Fig. 10 we compare the distribution of stellar masses in clumps for three different samples. A first sample is considering clumps that are in clump systems with at least 3 clumps (hereafter multi-clump systems). Another mass distribution is for the sample of clumps in two-clump-systems. These two samples are compared to the distribution of stellar masses in single clumps galaxies. We note that for single clump systems, a clump can cor-




Fig. 10. The distribution of stellar masses in clumps for three different samples. In the black solid line histogram we show the distribution considering single clump galaxies. In the filled brown histogram we show the distribution of stellar masses of clumps in galaxies with 3 or more clumps. The golden hatched histogram shows the stellar mass of clumps in galaxies with 2 clumps.

respond the entire galaxy, explaining why we find clumps with stellar masses up to $\log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) \sim 11$.

From Fig. 10 it is striking to see that the clumps in two-clump-systems have stellar-masses that peak at higher values (around $\log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) \sim 9.4$) than clumps in multi-clump systems. The stellar masses of these clumps appear to be larger than what is expected for giant clumps identified from galaxy disc simulations or observations (see e.g. Elmegreen et al. 2009; Genzel et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2012; Bournaud 2016), with such clumps having lower stellar masses in the range $8 \leq \log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) \leq 9$. On the other hand, the bulk ($\sim 55\%$) of the stellar mass distribution in multi-clump-systems is consistent with this lower mass range. We have tested the similarity between the distributions of stellar masses in two-clump systems and in multi-clump systems using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and the null hypothesis (that both distributions are the same) is discarded at the 95% confidence level. This difference persists when considering higher values of k_p . We conclude that these two populations are genuinely different, and discuss the possible reasons for these differences in Sect. 6.

6. Discussion: a merger origin for the bright and large clumps in two-clump galaxies

Our study brings to light two important morphological properties of high redshift galaxies and their evolution from $z \sim 6$ to $z \sim 2$: the fraction of clumpy galaxies as well as the distribution of the number of clumps per galaxy. Both of these properties are a direct consequence of the evolutionary path followed by galaxies, and the physical processes driving this evolution at these early cosmic times.

Defining clumps is a delicate process. At these high redshifts, and with the spatial resolution and depth of HST ACS or WFC3 imaging, only the brightest clumps separated by $\gtrsim 1 \text{ kpc}$ can be identified. We choose to apply an evolving isophotal threshold to define the area where to look for clumps in a galaxy, defined following the luminosity evolution observed in the star-forming galaxy population at these redshifts. We find local maxima, apply a minimum area of 10 connected pixels, and retain groups of pixels which are disconnected down to a level of 80% of the total galaxy flux, to identify clumps within this isophote. The isophote definition has an impact on the number of clumps identified: as the area covered by a brighter isophote gets smaller, the number of clumps within the isophote also gets smaller. We measure the area and absolute luminosity of the clumps and estimate that we are able to reliably identify clumps larger than $\sim 1.5 \text{ kpc}^2$ and with a luminosity brighter than $M_{\text{clump}} < -18$. This process differs from previous attempts at clump identification algorithms (Guo et al. 2015; Shibuya et al. 2016) mainly by the definition of the evolving isophotal cut and the procedure of identifying clumps as local maximum groups detected within such an isophote.

We find that the fraction of clumpy galaxies in the star-forming population rises from $\sim 34 \pm 8\%$ at $z \sim 2.25$ to $54 \pm 9\%$ at $z \sim 4$ for galaxies selected in the UV rest-frame with stellar masses $\log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) > -0.204 \times (z - 4.5) + 9.35$, and identifying clumps brighter than $M_{\text{clump,NUV}} < -18$ and larger than $\sim 2 \text{ kpc}^2$. We observe a trend for a higher clumpy fraction going to higher redshifts, more pronounced when we use a fainter isophote to define the clump search area. We find a slightly lower ($39 \pm 11\%$) clumpy fraction in the higher redshift bin $4.5 < z < 6$, but with an increasing trend with redshift when compared to the bin immediately below at the same isophote level. The depth of the data does not allow to use a comparable isophote when taking into account luminosity evolution between the low redshift and high redshift samples. Nevertheless, the trend suggests that when measured at the same isophote level the clumpy fraction would be the highest at $4.5 < z < 6$. As expected, this fraction does depend on the luminosity threshold and minimum clump area that we impose (see Fig. 7), and hence on the depth and spatial resolution of the images used. It is therefore likely that the clumpy fraction reported here is a lower value to the real clumpy fraction.

These results are at odds with the decreasing fraction of clumpy galaxies reported by Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016). Differences in the definition of a clumpy galaxy and in the sample used to compute the clumpy fraction may be the main reasons for this discrepancy. We define a clumpy galaxy as a galaxy with 2 or more detected clumps within its isophote, whereas Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016) define it when at least one off-center clump is identified, and we should then expect a lower clumpy fraction from our measurements. Another important difference resides in our sample selection which does not apriori exclude galaxies because of their morphology (Guo et al. 2015; Shibuya et al. 2016, impose an

effective radius $r_e > 0.2''$ and axis ratio $q > 0.5$ cut). As we include in our sample small compact sources, this might further increase the number of non-clumpy galaxies and decrease the clumpy fraction, while including galaxies with low values of q like elongated clump chain galaxies (see e.g. Elmegreen et al. 2004, 2008) would rather increase it. The search isophote evolving with redshift that we use seems to be the key difference with Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016). At the lower redshifts of our sample, we use a rather bright isophote to match the detection limit at $z \sim 4.5$, therefore restricting the clump search area, at variance with Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016) who use the faintest isophote allowed by the data, hence enlarging the clump search area compared to our method (see Appendix A). At $z > 4.5$ our method produces an opposite effect as we are able to search for clumps in a larger area. Our method has the benefit of producing counts that can be readily compared over a large redshift range, revealing that the clumpy fraction remains high over the redshift range $2 < z < 6$.

Now turning to the analysis of the distribution of the number of clumps per galaxies, there is a large fraction $\sim 21 - 25\%$ of galaxies with only two clumps and the clumps in these galaxies are the brightest and largest as shown in figures 3, 6, 8 and 9. We can identify only a few possibilities to form the clumps in these two-clumps galaxies: they could be either (1) the result of disc fragmentation and VDI, (2) two individual galaxies in the final merging process of a galaxy pair, or (3) the chance projection of a galaxy on the line of sight, physically unrelated. We note that we are able to detect clumps that are 1 to 2 magnitudes fainter than what we find for bright clumps in two clump systems. This implies that if additional clumps were to be found with deeper imaging they would be much fainter than what we find and thus we would still observe a large fraction of two clump systems detected above a given luminosity limit.

Galaxies with VDI induced clumps have been studied in detailed hydrodynamical simulations which show how fragmentation in a proto-galactic disc produces several clumps, visible over timescales $\lesssim 1 \text{ Gyr}$, before migrating and merging in a galaxy central core (e.g. Bournaud et al. 2007; Dekel et al. 2009; Genel et al. 2012; Bournaud et al. 2014; Oklopčić et al. 2016). It seems unlikely that a physical scenario following disc fragmentation and VDI would preferentially produce only two bright clumps (F. Bournaud, private communication). Cloud fragmentation due to disk instabilities are more likely to give rise to a higher number of clumps, with the number of clumps reducing over the dynamical timescale of clump merging, as shown in simulations (Mandelker et al. 2014). If VDI is the only process capable of producing clumps one would rather expect to observe more galaxies with 3 or more clumps than two-clumps systems, opposite to what we find (Fig. 5). It is however possible that some of the two-clump systems that we observe are the end result of clumps formed through VDI after migration and merging of smaller clumps. The typical timescale for clump migration to a galaxy center is about 500 Myr (e.g. Förster Schreiber et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2012; Bournaud 2016) which is typically one fourth of the redshift interval we probe from $2 < z < 4.5$. However, the period on which we would observe two resolved clumps should be even shorter, further reducing the fraction of large and bright clumps if produced by VDI. Additionally, we would need to take into account the survival rate of large clumps for which some simulations suggest even shorter lifetimes (e.g. Genel et al. 2012). We therefore infer that the large fraction of two-clump galaxies with large and bright clumps cannot be produced by VDI processes alone.

Another interpretation is that these two-clumps systems are direct evidence for on-going merger events. Major or minor mergers do indeed produce a bi-modal light distribution with each of the merging galaxy counted as a clump. Merging is observed to be a significant process with a high fraction of $\sim 15 - 20\%$ of galaxies involved in major mergers at redshifts $z \sim 1$ and up to $z \sim 4$ (e.g. Le Fèvre et al. 2000; de Ravel et al. 2009; López-Sanjuan et al. 2013; Tasca et al. 2014a). The bright clumps in two-clumps systems and a luminosity ratio $L_f/L_b > 1/4$ have absolute magnitudes $-19 > M_{NUV} > -22$, producing together a total luminosity equivalent to that of the median of the star-forming population we are probing. Two-clumps systems with one bright clump and $L_f/L_b < 1/4$ may include minor merger events participating to the build-up of stellar mass along cosmic time.

If we assume that clumps which have $L_f/L_b > 1/4$ are representative of major galaxy mergers we find that 24 – 40% of two-clump systems are then classified as major merger events, remaining roughly constant at $z \gtrsim 3$. As two-clump systems represent $\sim 25\%$ of the total population, using the fraction of bright two-clump systems (Tab. 2) we find a total merger fraction slightly decreasing with redshift from $\sim 18\%$ at $z \sim 3$ to $\sim 10\%$ at $z \sim 5$. Using those systems with $L_f/L_b > 1/4$ we find a major merger fraction in the range 6.5 to 11%. Similarly, using the fraction of two-clump systems with $L_f/L_b < 1/4$ we find a minor merger fraction of 2.7 to 10.5%.

The fraction of merging systems derived above is obtained when considering close merging pairs alone, hence late stage mergers, as the median clump separation is ~ 3 kpc ($\sim 0.4''$). To expand this to a total merger fraction we need to account for the fraction of galaxy pairs within a 20 kpc search radius, a distance for which the probability of galaxies to merge in a short timescale is expected to be high (e.g. Kitzbichler & White 2008). From a pair count corrected for projections along the line of sight (Sect. 3.2), we find a rising pair fraction of $\sim 15\%$ at $z \sim 2.3$ reaching $\sim 38\%$ at $z \sim 5$. One interesting trend is that while the pair fraction is increasing at higher redshifts, the opposite trend is observed for the merger fraction derived from clumps statistics. One may infer that we are observing an evolving merger rate measured in two stages, the first and more common at the higher redshifts is when galaxies have yet to merge, and the second, as observed from close bright clumps counts, representing the final phases of merging before coalescence, which is more common at lower redshifts. When combining these two merging indicators we find that the major merger rate remains roughly constant at $\sim 20\%$ over the redshift range $2 < z < 6$.

There is a low probability that our observations of two-clumps systems might be consequence of line of sight contamination from lower redshift galaxies which might then be confused with high redshift clumps. With a small median separation of $\sim 0.4''$ the probability that these two-clump systems with at least one bright $M_{clump,NUV} < -19.1$ are the result of random line of sight contamination separations is very small, at less than 3% (see Sect. 3.2 for details), even when dealing with faint objects with $i_{AB} \sim 27 - 28$. We then conclude that most of these two-clump systems are real physical systems at the same redshift.

As a conclusion, a straightforward interpretation of galaxies with two bright clumps is that this population predominantly includes galaxies caught in the act of a merging event. This interpretation is further reinforced when looking at the typical stellar masses of clumps. We find that for these two clumps systems with at least one bright component, the majority of them ($\sim 77\%$) has stellar masses greater than $\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) > 9$ a

limit beyond which clumps induced by VDI are not observed in simulations (see e.g. Elmegreen et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2012; Tamburello et al. 2015; Bournaud 2016). On the other hand, $\sim 55\%$ of clumps in multi-clump systems have stellar masses lower than $\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) < 9$, which might likely result from VDI as well as minor mergers. We find that the typical masses of the clumps in two clumps systems are more alike the masses of galaxies observed in merging pairs with larger separations (López-Sanjuan et al. 2013; Tasca et al. 2014a).

From the analysis of clump properties presented in this paper, we therefore conclude that we are likely witnessing two different modes of clump formation happening in parallel at the same cosmic times. Small and faint clumps are likely the result of both VDI clumps production and minor mergers. We do observe an important fraction (in $\sim 20 - 25\%$ of all galaxies) of two-clump systems with large and bright clumps which are most likely major mergers.

7. Summary

The results presented in this paper can be summarized as follows:

- The search for clumps in distant galaxies images critically depends on the depth of the images defining the search area, on how clumps are defined, whether clumps must be embedded within a common isophote, and on the selection of the galaxy population. We use a new algorithm for detecting clumps, where clumps are defined as groups of connected pixels associated with the same local maximum within a galaxy isophote evolving with redshift and corrected for color differences between the different rest-frame bands observed as a function of redshift.
- We compute the number of clumps per galaxy in a sample of 1242 galaxies with $2 < z < 4.5$ and 96 galaxies with $4.5 < z < 6$ with confirmed spectroscopic redshifts from the VIMOS Ultra Deep Survey and in the COSMOS and ECDFS areas where deep HST imaging in the F814W filter is available from the COSMOS and CANDELS surveys. We find that, at $2 < z < 4.5$ and excluding single clump galaxies, the dominant galaxy population has two clumps ($\sim 25\%$ of all galaxies) followed by galaxies with 3, and more than 3 clumps ($\sim 11, \sim 7\%$ respectively). The same trend is found at higher redshifts ($4.5 < z < 6$) where galaxies with two clumps dominate ($\sim 21\%$) followed by galaxies with 3, and more than 3 clumps ($\sim 8, \sim 9\%$ respectively).
- The fraction of clumpy galaxies, with ≥ 2 clumps and corrected for line of sight chance projections, is found to remain in the range 35 to 55% over $2 < z < 6$, increasing with redshift.
- We find a large range of clump properties, with a continuous, possibly bi-modal, distribution of the luminosity and area of clumps with a population of large and bright clumps distinct from a population of small and less luminous clumps. The population of large and bright clumps has typical absolute magnitudes $M_{FUV} \sim -19.7$ and areas $T \sim 6.0$ kpc². In contrast, the population of small and less luminous clumps has typical absolute magnitudes $M_{FUV} \sim -18.1$ and areas $T \sim 1.8$ kpc². We associate these two populations to the existence of two physical processes, mergers and disk instabilities, that explain the observed properties of clumps.
- The faint and small clumps are preferentially found in multiple clump galaxies with $N_{clump} \geq 3$. They are comparable in luminosity and stellar mass ($10^8 - 10^9 M_\odot$) to the large star

clusters seen forming in numerical simulations from violent disc instabilities, consistent with findings from other studies (e.g. Elmegreen et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2012; Bournaud 2016). It is also likely that a fraction of these clumps is related to minor merging events.

- The bright and large clumps are preferentially found in two-clumps systems. These bright clumps have luminosities and stellar masses $\sim 10^9 - 10^{10} M_\odot$, larger than expected from VDI processes. It appears as unlikely that, if clumps were solely produced by VDI, two-clumps systems would strongly dominate the population of clumpy galaxies. We rather conclude that the bright and large clumps in two clump systems have properties similar to those of galaxies in merging pairs (López-Sanjuan et al. 2013; Tasca et al. 2014a). Using the fraction of galaxies with bright clumps we infer a major merger fraction going from 18% at $z \sim 3$ to 10% at $z \sim 5$. When combined with the pair fraction of galaxies as measured in projection within a 20 kpc radius, we find a major merger rate of $\sim 20\%$ comparable to other estimates at similar redshifts (López-Sanjuan et al. 2013; Tasca et al. 2014a).

In quantifying the properties of clumps in star-forming galaxies with $2 < z < 6$ we therefore conclude that we are witnessing the effects of two different galaxy assembly processes working in parallel at a cosmic time of major galaxy assembly. Clumps are likely the result of both violent disc instabilities with an in-situ clump formation mode, as well as from major and minor merging of galaxies with an ex-situ assembly of matter. On the one hand gas accretion is expected to feed the buildup of discs which then become unstable and produce clumps that will build galaxy bulges (e.g. Dekel et al. 2009; Bournaud et al. 2009; Dekel & Krumholz 2013; Bournaud 2016), a process which remains to be confirmed by further observational evidence at these high redshifts (e.g. Bouché et al. 2013). The population of large and bright merging clumps formed *ex-situ* confirm mounting evidence for the importance of major mergers in the early build-up of galaxies, events which contribute the most to the stellar mass growth of a galaxy (López-Sanjuan et al. 2013; Tasca et al. 2014a; Mandelker et al. 2014). Both processes are therefore important to understand both the evolution of the cosmic star formation rate and the build-up of stellar mass of galaxies throughout cosmic time, and explaining key observables like the evolution of the specific star formation rate, and its possible flattening at redshifts $z > 2$ (e.g. Dekel & Mandelker 2014; Tasca et al. 2015; Faisst et al. 2016; Mármol-Queraltó et al. 2016).

We point out that in this study we did not discuss the properties of galaxies with a single bright clump, which dominate the population of star-forming galaxies. This population will be the subject of forthcoming papers.

Testing the evolution of the number of clumps from larger simulations of galaxies in complete cosmologically representative volumes would bring further insight into the relative contribution of these different physical processes in building-up galaxies along cosmic time. On the observational side, since the clumpy fraction and the number of clumps identified strongly depend on the spatial resolution and depth of images, and as galaxies with large sizes are observed up to large redshifts (Ribeiro et al. 2016), it is likely that future facilities like JWST and extremely large telescopes with adaptive optics may well identify more clumps. Spatially resolved information from multi-band high resolution observations and/or IFU data will be necessary to compute velocity fields and stellar ages of the large and bright clumps and confirm or not a scenario where these are witnesses

of the final stages of merging pairs of galaxies. The high fraction of bright two-clumps galaxies and the wide range of clump properties reported here must be taken into account when planning for future surveys aimed at a complete census of the properties of the high redshift population.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by funding from the European Research Council Advanced Grant ERC-2010-AdG-268107-EARLY and by INAF Grants PRIN 2010, PRIN 2012 and PICS 2013. R.A. acknowledges support from the ERC Advanced Grant 695671 ‘QUENCH’. This work is based on data products made available at the CESAM data center, Laboratoire d’Astrophysique de Marseille. BR gratefully thanks Ana Afonso for late-night and helpful discussions, style tips and English typo corrections. This research made use of Astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013); Numpy & Scipy (Walt et al. 2011); Matplotlib (Hunter 2007).

References

- Abraham, R. G., van den Bergh, S., Glazebrook, K., et al. 1996, *ApJS*, 107, 1
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A33
- Bouché, N., Murphy, M. T., Kacprzak, G. G., et al. 2013, *Science*, 341, 50
- Bournaud, F. 2016, *Galactic Bulges*, 418, 355
- Bournaud, F., Daddi, E., Elmegreen, B. G., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 486, 741
- Bournaud, F., Elmegreen, B. G., & Elmegreen, D. M. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 237
- Bournaud, F., Elmegreen, B. G., & Martig, M. 2009, *ApJ*, 707, L1
- Bournaud, F., Perret, V., Renaud, F., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 57
- Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., Oesch, P. A., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 803, 34
- Bruce, V. A., Dunlop, J. S., Cirasuolo, M., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 1666
- Bruce, V. A., Dunlop, J. S., McLure, R. J., et al. 2014a, *MNRAS*, 444, 1660
- Bruce, V. A., Dunlop, J. S., McLure, R. J., et al. 2014b, *MNRAS*, 444, 1001
- Bruzual, G. & Charlot, S. 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000
- Buitrago, F., Trujillo, I., Conselice, C. J., & Häußler, B. 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 1460
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 682
- Capak, P., Aussel, H., Ajiki, M., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 99
- Chabrier, G. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, L133
- Conselice, C. J. 2014, *ARA&A*, 52, 291
- Conselice, C. J. & Arnold, J. 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 208
- Conselice, C. J., Rajgor, S., & Myers, R. 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 909
- Curtis-Lake, E., McLure, R. J., Dunlop, J. S., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 440
- de Ravel, L., Le Fèvre, O., Tresse, L., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 498, 379
- Dekel, A. & Krumholz, M. R. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 455
- Dekel, A. & Mandelker, N. 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 2071
- Dekel, A., Sari, R., & Ceverino, D. 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 785
- Di Matteo, P., Bournaud, F., Martig, M., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 492, 31
- Elmegreen, B. G., Bournaud, F., & Elmegreen, D. M. 2008, *ApJ*, 688, 67
- Elmegreen, B. G., Elmegreen, D. M., Fernandez, M. X., & Lemonias, J. J. 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 12
- Elmegreen, B. G., Elmegreen, D. M., Sánchez Almeida, J., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 774, 86
- Elmegreen, D. M. & Elmegreen, B. G. 2006, *ApJ*, 651, 676
- Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., Ravindranath, S., & Coe, D. A. 2007, *ApJ*, 658, 763
- Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., & Sheets, C. M. 2004, *ApJ*, 603, 74
- Faisst, A. L., Capak, P., Hsieh, B. C., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 821, 122
- Förster Schreiber, N. M., Shapley, A. E., Genzel, R., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 739, 45
- Freeman, P. E., Izbic, R., Lee, A. B., et al. 2013, *MNRAS* [arXiv:1306.1238]
- Garilli, B., Fumana, M., Franzetti, P., et al. 2010, *PASP*, 122, 827
- Garland, C. A., Pisano, D. J., Mac Low, M.-M., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 134
- Genel, S., Naab, T., Genzel, R., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 11
- Genzel, R., Burkert, A., Bouché, N., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 59
- Genzel, R., Newman, S., Jones, T., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 733, 101
- Giavalisco, M., Livio, M., Bohlin, R. C., Macchetto, F. D., & Stecher, T. P. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 369
- Glazebrook, K. 2013, *PASA*, 30, e056
- Grogin, N. A., Kocevski, D. D., Faber, S. M., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 35
- Guo, Y., Ferguson, H. C., Bell, E. F., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 39
- Guo, Y., Giavalisco, M., Ferguson, H. C., Cassata, P., & Koekemoer, A. M. 2012, *ApJ*, 757, 120
- Hartigan, J. A. & Hartigan, P. M. 1985, *Ann. Statist.*, 13, 70
- Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., Dutta, S. N., & Rothberg, B. 2008, *ApJ*, 679, 156
- Huertas-Company, M., Pérez-González, P. G., Mei, S., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 95
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, *Computing In Science & Engineering*, 9, 90
- Ilbert, O., McCracken, H. J., Le Fèvre, O., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 556, A55

Kitzbichler, M. G. & White, S. D. M. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1489
 Koekemoer, A. M., Aussel, H., Calzetti, D., et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 196
 Koekemoer, A. M., Faber, S. M., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2011, ApJS, 197, 36
 Kubo, M., Uchimoto, Y. K., Yamada, T., et al. 2013, ApJ, 778, 170
 Kubo, M., Yamada, T., Ichikawa, T., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 455, 3333
 Lang, P., Wuyts, S., Somerville, R. S., et al. 2014, ApJ, 788, 11
 Law, D. R., Steidel, C. C., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2012, ApJ, 745, 85
 Le Fèvre, O., Abraham, R., Lilly, S. J., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 311, 565
 Le Fèvre, O., Saisse, M., & Mancini, D. 2003, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 4841, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, ed. M. Iye & A. F. M. Moorwood, 1670–1681
 Le Fèvre, O., Tasca, L. A. M., Cassata, P., et al. 2015, A&A, 576, A79
 López-Sanjuan, C., Le Fèvre, O., de Ravel, L., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A20
 López-Sanjuan, C., Le Fèvre, O., Ilbert, O., et al. 2012, A&A, 548, A7
 López-Sanjuan, C., Le Fèvre, O., Tasca, L. A. M., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A78
 Lotz, J. M., Jonsson, P., Cox, T. J., et al. 2011, ApJ, 742, 103
 Madau, P. & Dickinson, M. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 415
 Mandelker, N., Dekel, A., Ceverino, D., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 443, 3675
 Margalef-Bentabol, B., Conselice, C. J., Mortlock, A., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 461, 2728
 Mármol-Queraltó, E., McLure, R. J., Cullen, F., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 460, 3587
 Mortlock, A., Conselice, C. J., Hartley, W. G., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1185
 Murata, K. L., Kajisawa, M., Taniguchi, Y., et al. 2014, ApJ, 786, 15
 Oklopčič, A., Hopkins, P. F., Feldmann, R., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1603.03778]
 Oser, L., Naab, T., Ostriker, J. P., & Johansson, P. H. 2012, ApJ, 744, 63
 Perez, J., Valenzuela, O., Tissera, P. B., & Michel-Dansac, L. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 259
 Porter, L. A., Somerville, R. S., Primack, J. R., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 3092
 Puech, M. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 535
 Ravindranath, S., Giavalisco, M., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2006, ApJ, 652, 963
 Reddy, N. A. & Steidel, C. C. 2009, ApJ, 692, 778
 Ribeiro, B., Le Fèvre, O., Tasca, L. A. M., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1602.01840]
 Rodríguez-Gómez, V., Pillepich, A., Sales, L. V., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 2371
 Salpeter, E. E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
 Scodreggio, M., Franzetti, P., Garilli, B., et al. 2005, PASP, 117, 1284
 Scoville, N., Abraham, R. G., Aussel, H., et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 38
 Shapiro, S. S. & Wilk, M. B. 1965, Biometrika, 52, 591
 Shibuya, T., Ouchi, M., & Harikane, Y. 2015, ApJS, 219, 15
 Shibuya, T., Ouchi, M., Kubo, M., & Harikane, Y. 2016, ApJ, 821, 72
 Tadaki, K.-i., Kodama, T., Tanaka, I., et al. 2014, ApJ, 780, 77
 Tamburello, V., Mayer, L., Shen, S., & Wadsley, J. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 2490
 Tasca, L. A. M., Le Fèvre, O., Hathi, N. P., et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A54
 Tasca, L. A. M., Le Fèvre, O., López-Sanjuan, C., et al. 2014a, A&A, 565, A10
 Tasca, L. A. M., Le Fèvre, O., Ribeiro, B., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1602.01842]
 Tasca, L. A. M., Tresse, L., Le Fèvre, O., et al. 2014b, A&A, 564, L12
 Thomas, R., Le Fèvre, O., Scodreggio, M., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1602.01841]
 van den Bergh, S., Abraham, R. G., Ellis, R. S., et al. 1996, AJ, 112, 359
 Walt, S. v. d., Colbert, S. C., & Varoquaux, G. 2011, Computing in Science & Engineering, 13, 22
 Williams, R. E., Blacker, B., Dickinson, M., et al. 1996, AJ, 112, 1335
 Wuyts, S., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Genzel, R., et al. 2012, ApJ, 753, 114

Appendix A: Computing the clumpy fraction using a different methodology

To contextualize our results we attempted to implement the same methodology as in recent studies (e.g. Guo et al. 2015; Shibuya et al. 2016) and compare what we obtain against the results we get from the algorithm described in Sect. 3. Inspired by Guo et al. (2015); Shibuya et al. (2016) we use the following method:

1. SExtractor is run on a $7''$ by $7''$ image to obtain the segmentation map.
2. The segmentation map is dilated using a binary operation that effectively smooths and enlarges by a maximum of 3 pixels the outer edges of the segmentation map.
3. A small cutout of the original image is selected to adapt to the size of the segmentation map.
4. A boxcar filter (10 pixels width) is applied to the new cutout.




Fig. A.1. Clumpy fraction in the VUDS sample as computed using the method described by Guo et al. (2015) (red squares) and the results by Guo et al. (2015) as blue diamonds and Shibuya et al. (2016) as gray circles which use the same methodology.

5. A residual image is produced by subtracting the filtered image to the original one.
6. The residual background flux is measured using a 3σ -clipping method.
7. All pixels that have fluxes smaller than 2σ of the calculated background are masked.
8. We run a new detection algorithm on the masked residual image to detect clumps.

All regions in the masked residual image that have more than 5 connected pixels are counted as single clumps. We also compute the magnitude of each clump using a 3 pixel aperture.

To compute the final clump fraction, we select only galaxies for which GALFIT is converging into a reliable fit (see Ribeiro et al. 2016) and with an effective radius $r_e > 0.2''$ and axis ratio $q > 0.5$. We select galaxies with stellar masses in an intermediate range ($9.8 < \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) < 10.6$). As in Guo et al. (2015) we also include single off-center clumps when computing the clumpy fraction. Finally, we only consider clumps which contain more than 8% of the galaxy light.

The result of this calculation is shown as the red squares in Fig. A.1. We find that for $z < 3$ we are in excellent agreement with the results from Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016) when we use a method similar to what they are using. At higher redshift, we include our clumpy fraction measurement binned at $3 < z < 4$ to minimize statistical errors, as we get lower statistics because for part of our sample GALFIT does not properly converge into a decent fit. We find that our clumpy fraction is higher than what is reported by Shibuya et al. (2016) but it is compatible within $\sim 2\sigma$ of our reported measurements. We thus conclude based on the bins for which we have larger samples hence more robust statistics that the main difference between our results and those reported by Guo et al. (2015) and Shibuya et al. (2016) is due to the different methodology to detect clumps in high redshift galaxies.